

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, ROORKEE

DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW TEMPLATE

1. Name of Department/Center : Dept. of Electronics & Communication
Engineering

2. Reviewers :

D. S.N. Joshi
D. A.K. Singh
D. Supendra Pal

3. Date of Review: 19 May 2014

GRID FOR ASSESSMENT

NOTE:

- i. Please grade in the box provided for the following parameters in the range of 1-10 with 10 being the highest.
- ii. Leave 'blank' for 'No Comment'.
- iii. Kindly give your opinion on the strength and weakness of the Department/ Center and your suggestions for future growth.

I. ACADEMICS

L1	Undergraduate	Score
1.	Curriculum i. Curricular Structure ii. Course Syllabi iii. Flexibility	9
2.	Formal Academic Load on Students i. Teaching ii. Laboratory/Practical iii. Projects(minor/major)	9
3.	Evaluation Process i. Continuing Evaluation ii. Mid-term Evaluation iii. End-term Evaluation	9

N. S. Joshi

D

4.	Academic Ambience	9
5.	Opportunity for Peer-Based Learning	8
6.	Opportunity for Further Learning(Breadth and Depth) i. Elective Courses Specialization ii. Minor with Major Discipline iii. Honors Programme in Major Discipline	9
7.	E-Assisted Learning i. Availability of Library Resources and Major Search Engines (like Scopus, Web of Science) ii. Multi-Media Assisted Teaching	9
8.	In -Curriculum Research/Exploration Opportunity to Students	9
9.	Technical Societies/ Colloquium for Students i. Departmental Society ii. Student Chapter(s) of Professional Societies	8
10.	Faculty -Student Interaction	10
11.	Faculty Mentoring of Students	10
12.	Faculty Advisor System for Students/Class of Students	10
13.	Self Study Courses for Student	9
14.	Effective Teaching Mechanism for Enhanced Number of Students in Various Classes	10
15.	Effectiveness of Assisted Learning: Tutorial System for B.Tech Students/ Seminars	9

I.2	Graduate Programmes(Masters)	Score
1.	Curriculum i. Curricular Structure ii. Course Syllabi iii. Flexibility	9
2.	Formal Academic Load on Students i. Teaching ii. Laboratory/Practical iii. Seminar/Dissertation	9
3.	Evaluation Process i. Continuing Evaluation ii. Mid-Term Evaluation iii. End-Term Evaluation	9
4.	Academic Ambience	9
5.	Opportunity for Peer-Based Learning	9
6.	Opportunity for further Learning(Breadth and Depth) Elective Courses (Specialization Electives)	10
7.	E-Assisted Learning i. Availability of Library Resources and Major Search Engines (like Scopus, Web of Science)	9

Neel Anand

2

	ii. Multi-Media Assisted Teaching	
8.	In –Curriculum Research/Exploration Opportunity to Students	10
9.	Technical Societies/ Colloquium for Students	8
	i. Departmental Society	
	ii. Student Chapter(s) of Professional Societies	
10.	Faculty –Student Interaction	10
11.	Faculty Mentoring/Supervising of Students	10
12.	Faculty Advisor System for Students/Class of Students	10
13.	Effectiveness of Assisted Learning: Home Assignments/Seminars/Presentations	10

I.3	Doctoral (Ph.D) Programmes	Score
1.	Pre-Ph.D Courses and Evaluation Process	9
2.	Comprehensive Courses Examination	9
3.	Breadth and Depth of Knowledge of Students	9
4.	Seminar/ Presentations and Technical Communication	10
5.	Average No. of Research Students/Faculty	10
6.	Average No. of Research Papers of Ph.D Students	9
7.	Average Duration to Complete Ph.D (years)	9

II. RESEARCH

		Score
1.	Research Ambience in the Department	9
2.	Research Awareness among Doctoral Students	9
3.	Competence Level of Doctoral Students for Research	9
4.	Quality of Research	10
5.	Quality of Publications	10
6.	Impact of Publications	9
7.	Relevance of Research to Knowledge Generation	9
8.	Societal Relevance of Research	9
9.	Exposure of Researchers to the International State of Art	9
10.	Student Exposure to Attending Quality Conferences/Symposia	9
11.	Growth in Ph.D Programme	9
12.	Quality of Research Infrastructure	9
13.	Utilization of Existing Research Infrastructure	10
14.	Department Initiative on Faculty Hiring	10
15.	Breadth and Depth of Research in the Department	9
16.	Research Intensity of Faculty Members	10

Handwritten signatures and initials in blue ink.

Handwritten initials in blue ink.

Futuristic Areas For Hiring Faculty Members Signal Processing, Embedded Systems, VLSI, Microwave Imaging, MM & THz technology, Wireless Communication & Sensor network, Radar Systems	
Research Areas for Improvement	Communication Systems & Signal Processing
Comments (not more than 100 words for each given below)	
Strength:	Young & motivated faculty with excellent research & academic aptitude.
Weakness:	(1) Inadequate student to faculty ratio (ii) Extra infrastructure needed (both in terms of space & laboratory equipments) to (iii)
Suggestions for improvement:	(i) Extra infrastructure needed (both in terms of space & laboratory equipments) (ii) B.Tech. students to be encouraged for research (iii) Institute must finance for patent.

III. Departmental Infrastructure

	Score
1. Adequacy of Class Rooms and Multi-Media Facility	9
2. Availability of Laboratories	9
3. Availability of Conference/Seminar Room, etc.	9
4. Availability of Seating Space for Research Students	10
5. Availability of Internet Services in Research Labs and Class Rooms	10
6. Departmental Library and E-Resources	10
7. Computing Facilities and Software	9
8. Adequacy of Offices and Furnishing for Faculty	9
9. Faculty- Student Ratio	8
10. Support Staff (Technical/Administrative) Adequacy	8

Neel Anil

Comments (not more than 100 words for each given below)

Strength: Same as given in sec. II.

Weakness: "

Suggestions for improvement: "

IV. Admissions of Ph.D Students

		Score
1.	Intake of Ph.D Students	9
2.	Admission Process	9
Suggestions: Area of research to be increased with industry interaction.		



 Several handwritten signatures and initials in blue ink are present at the bottom of the page. One signature appears to be 'Anil' with a horizontal line underneath. To its right is another signature that looks like 'S. S.'. Below these, there are other scribbles and initials, including one that looks like 'Ravi' or 'Ravi' with a dot underneath.


V. Outcomes

		Score
1.	Placements i. Placement of B.Tech/IDD Students ii. Placement of Masters Student iii. Placement of Ph.D Students	9
2.	Average No. of Ph.D.s Awarded per Year	9
3.	Publications per Faculty in ISI Indexed Journals/Year	10
4.	Average Citations per Faculty/Year (Last-Three Years) (Web of Science/Scopus)	9
5.	Recognitions; Awards(National/International) to Faculty/Students	9
6.	Consultancy and Projects	10
7.	No. of Ph.D. graduates who took Academics as Career(Based on Data of Last 5 Years)	9
Comments and Suggestions for improvement: (i) Faculty should be given at least 25Lacs as seed money to start research (ii) B.Tech. students to be encouraged & inspired to take up research as carrier.		

Date: 19/05/2014


 (Prof. Surendra Pal)
 ISRO Bangalore


 (Dr. S.N. Joshi)
 Ex Scientist-G,
 CSIR-CEERI, Pilani


 (Dr. A.K. Singh)
 Scientist-G & Project Director
 LRDE/DRDO, Bangalore

