

Minutes of the 23rd meeting of the
Council of IITs held on January 12, 1983.

The 23rd meeting of the Council of IITs was held on January 12, 1983 in the Ministry. The following were present:

- | | | |
|-----|------------------------|-----------|
| 1. | Smt. Sheila Kaul | Chairman |
| 2. | Dr. Subramaniam Swamy | |
| 3. | Prof. Ajit Kumar Mehta | |
| 4. | Shri Ladli Mohan Nigam | |
| 5. | Prof. R. N. Dogra | |
| 6. | Shri G.K. Chandiramani | |
| 7. | Prof. S. N. Sen | |
| 8. | Dr. G.S. Sidhu | |
| 9. | Smt. Serla Grewal | |
| 10. | Prof. B. Nag | |
| 11. | Shri Sarosh J. Ghandy | |
| 12. | Shri Prakash Tandon | |
| 13. | Prof. C.S. Jha | |
| 14. | Prof. A.K. De | |
| 15. | Prof. Shankar Lal | |
| 16. | Prof. O.P. Jain | |
| 17. | Prof. S. Sampath | |
| 18. | Prof. P.V. Indiresan | |
| 19. | Prof. S. Ramaseshan | |
| 20. | Shri Man Mohan Singh | |
| 21; | Shri S. Vedanthan | Secretary |

The following members regretted their inability to attend the meeting:

1. Prof. MGK Menon
2. Dr. (Mrs.) Madhuri R. Shah
3. Dr. R. Ramanna
4. Shri A. Sivasailam
5. Dr. A. Santappa
6. Shri B. Ramachandra

: 2 :

The Chairman welcomed the new members to the Council and thanked the outgoing members for their contributions to the discussion at the Council. Thereafter the agenda for the meeting was taken up.

Item No.1 To confirm the minutes of the 22nd meeting of the Council.

The minutes of the 22nd meeting of the Council were confirmed.

Item No.2 To report changes in the membership of the Council.

The changes in the membership of the Council were noted.

Item No.3 To report the action taken on the minutes of the 22nd meeting of the Council.

23.3.1 It was clarified that the scheme for coaching SC/ST candidates appearing at JEE is being pursued with the Ministry of Home Affairs. The Education Secretary assured that if Ministry of Home Affairs do not accept the scheme, in view of the urgency and importance, the Ministry of Education will assume the responsibility for implementing the Coaching Scheme.

23.3.4 The Council after discussing this item resolved that modified promotional scheme for junior non-academic employees in IITs already communicated to the IITs should be implemented. However, certain anomalies that are experienced be examined

by the Directors and a note be put up to the Council. The decisions taken on these anomalies be communicated to the IITs before 30.6.1983 so as to enable the modified scheme be implemented in all cases of promotions due from 1.7.83 on the basis of fresh decisions taken on the anomalies.

23.3.5

The Council appreciated the efforts made by the respective IITs but emphasised the importance of Annual Reports and Audited Statements of Accounts being available to Parliament in time. The Council resolved that every IIT should adhere to the time-schedule strictly.

23.3.13

The Council discussed the desirability of of having an integrated Engineering-cum-Management courses of 5 or 5 1/2 years duration. The Council was apprised that IIT Kanpur is making such a study. The Council suggested that this problem requires an indepth study and requested the Chairman to appoint a Committee to look into this after the receipt of the report of IIT Kanpur.

23.3.15

The Council resolved that whenever a resolution is taken in the Council to amend a Statute, as soon as a Board of Governors of an IIT approves and amendment, the Secretariat should process the amended Statute of that IIT, instead of waiting for all the IITs.

Item No.4

To report the Revised/Budget Estimates for the year 1982-83 and 1983-84 for the IITs.

23.4.1

The Council observed that out of the total allocation of Sixth Plan (Allocation of Rs.32.5 crores), the IITs have spent Rs.23.02 crores in the first three years of the Plan period, leaving Rs.9.48 crores for 1983-84 and 1984-85. The Council expressed deep concern regarding inadequate plan provision but was informed that the Ministry of Education is taking up this matter with the Planning Commission.

23.4.2

The Council recommended that the Non Plan provision for the IITs for 1982-83 revised estimates and 1983-84 budget estimates be recommended to Government IIT wise as follows:

<u>I.I.T.</u>	<u>NON PLAN</u>	
	<u>RE 1982-83</u>	<u>(Rs. in lakhs)</u> <u>BE 1983-84</u>
Delhi	559.97	593.89
Madras	544.50	562.60
Bombay	635.00	655.97
Kanpur	548.21	598.36
Kharagpur	559.00	588.00
T O T A L:	2846.68	2998.82

23.4.3

The Council expressed concern regarding inadequate plan provisions for IITs and

unanimously resolved that more plan provision is necessary and urgent. For 1982-83 and 1983-84 the Council resolved to request Ministry of Education to provide more Plan funding from the Plan savings that they may have.

23.4.4

The Council unanimously expressed concern about lack of provision for replacement of equipment and this directly is related to maintenance of Technical Education Standards. The Council recommended that Government of India may make regular provision of at least 2 crores of rupees for each IIT every year for acquisition of new equipment and replacement of old equipment.

23.4.5

The Council noted that at present earnings of computer in an IIT is credited to the Industrial Research Fund which goes into the Consolidated Fund of India. Since the replacement of equipment is very urgent, the Council resolved that while earnings can be credited as per rules to the Consolidated Funds, equal or more money should be provided to each IIT for meeting immediate requirements for upgradation and replacement of equipment. For this purpose in the budget, the Council suggested, there should be a separate head showing the income and expenditure from the Industrial Research Development Fund. The Council resolved that even if there is an excess of expenditure over the income under this head, as long as it is needed immediately for upgradation and replacement of equipment, this amount should be provided for each IIT.

Item No.5 To consider amendments to the I.I.T. Act.

23.5.1
(Clause 6) The Council agreed to the amendment but suggested that the Institute should raise and accept loans with the prior concurrence of the Central Government.

23.5.2
(Clause 22) The Council did not agree to the amendment proposed.

23.5.3
(Clause 9(2)) The Council did not agree to the amendment proposed.

23.5.4
(Clause 11) The Council did not agree to the amendment proposed. It was however felt that the alumni of each Institute proposed to be associated with the Boards of Governors of the IITs can be appointed by the Council itself as one of its four nominees on the Boards. The names of such alumni can be invited from the Senate of each Institute.

23.5.5
(Clause 11(c)) The Council resolved that the existing provision under the clause may be substituted by the following:

"One person to be nominated by the State in which the IIT is located and three others to be nominated by the other States comprising the zone by rotation, if necessary. The persons nominated should be Technologists or Industrialists of repute".

23.5.6
(Clause 11(c)) The Council suggested that All the State Governments be informed that the persons to be nominated by them on the Boards of

Governors of the IITs should be either Technologists or Industrialists of repute.

23.5.7
(Clause 17(1))

The Council did not agree to the amendment proposed. It was explained to the Council that the Council has laid down a procedure at its 1st meeting held on 25th May 1962 with regard to the mode of appointment of a Director by the Council. The procedure stipulated by the Council is:

"For regular appointment for the post of Director, the Selection Committee should be as follows:

- | | |
|---|----------|
| 1. Chairman of the Council | Chairman |
| 2. Chairman of the Board of Governors | Member |
| 3. Chairman of UGC | Member |
| 4. An expert nominated by the Chairman of the Council | Member |

23.5.8

One of the members of the Council questioned the validity of the appointment of Director as resolved by the Council. It was explained that both by virtue of the resolution of the Council stated as well as the powers vested with the Chairman under Section 34(2) of the IIT Act to implement its resolution, it is within the purview of the Chairman of the Council to constitute a Selection Committee and select a Director for IIT. The Council, therefore, resolved that this procedure be continued and the name of the Director so selected be forwarded to the Visitor for

his prior approval and then submitted to the Council in order that the Council could make the appointment of that person as Director of the Institute.

23.5.9 The Council resolved that the existing
(Clause 27(2)) provision be substituted by the following:

"The Council may, from time to time, make new or additional Statutes or may amend or repeal the Statutes in the manner here after within section provided".

23.5.10 The Council resolved that the existing
(Clause 33) provision in the clause may be substituted
(2) (a) by the following:

"To formulate policy on matters relating to the duration of the courses, the degree and other academic distinctions to be conferred by the Institute and admission standards".

23.5.11 The Council agreed to the amendment proposed
(Clause 35(1))

23.5.12 The Council agreed to the amendment proposed
(Clause 24(1))

23.5.13 The Council resolved that the provisions
(Clause 26(h)) under clause 26(b) may be deleted.

The meeting terminated with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

N E W D E L H I
JANUARY 1983.

* * * * *
* * * * *
* * * * *
* * * * *
* * * * *

S. D. Awale,
Asstt. Educational Adviser (T)
Tel. No. 381894

Government of India
Ministry of
Education & Culture
(Deptt. of Education)

New Delhi, the
11th July, 1983

No. F. 10-7/83-T. 6

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am enclosing the minutes of the 24th meeting of the Council of IITs held on June 10, 1983. Comments, if any, may kindly be sent so as to reach us by August 6, 1983.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,



(S. D. Awale)

To
(All members of the Council of IITs)